

**CHIA Governance Structure Task Force
Association Governance Model Comparison*
Delegate Worksheet**

Model Components	Model 1 Geographic	Model 2 Statewide	Model 3 Hybrid	Model 4 Geographic (Current Model)
Structure	Two, three or five regions.	No regional division	Geographic Regions and/or Chapter	Seven Component Local Associations (CLAs)
Governance	Board of Directors President, President-elect, Past President, 4 At Large Directors, 2 to 4 Directors per region. No regional budgets	Board of Directors President, President-elect, Past President, 6 to 8 At Large Directors	Board of Directors President, President-elect, Past President, 4 At Large Directors, 2 to 4 Directors per region Regional/Chapter groups with budgets	Board of Directors President, President-elect, Past President, 4 At Large Directors CLAs with budgets
Committees/ Interest Groups	No change in committee structure Special Interest Groups recommended (Such as Privacy & Security, Coding, CDI, Compliance, Leadership, Education) etc.)	No change in committee structure Special Interest Groups recommended (Such as Privacy & Security, Coding, CDI, Compliance, Leadership, Education), etc.)	No change in committee structure Special Interest Groups recommended (Such as Privacy & Security, Coding, CDI, Compliance, Leadership, Education), etc.)	No change in committee structure
Continuing Education	Continuing education offered by CHIA in each region. Possible informal local continuing education coordinated with CHIA	Continuing education offered by CHIA Possible informal local grass roots continuing education	Continuing education offered by both CHIA and Regions/Chapters	Continuing education offered by both CHIA and CLAs
House of Delegates	Proportional to members in each region.	House of Delegates discontinued and replaced with an Annual General Membership Meeting at the State Convention	Proportional to members in each region	Proportional to members in each region

Note: The Governance Task Force believes that all of the suggested models would continue to provide leadership development opportunities for CHIA members.

*See Governance Structure SBAR for model details

Considering the salient features of each model and using the questions below, gather input from CLA members on the pros, cons, and possible enhancements to each model.

1. Does this model hold promise for improving upon the association's current structure?
2. Does this model hold promise for attracting and improving upon volunteer involvement?
3. Does this model hold promise for advancing professional engagement?
4. Does this model provide a platform for effectively communicating the voice of the membership?
5. Could this model be enhanced in any way?

Model	Pros	Cons	Suggested Enhancements
Model 1 Geographic			
Model 2 Statewide			
Model 3 Hybrid			
Model 4 Geographic (Current Model)			